Role of Unusual P Loop Ejection and Autophosphorylation in HipA-Mediated Persistence and Multidrug Tolerance
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SUMMARY

HipA is a bacterial serine/threonine protein kinase that phosphorylates targets, bringing about persistence and multidrug tolerance. Autophosphorylation of residue Ser150 is a critical regulatory mechanism of HipA function. Intriguingly, Ser150 is not located on the activation loop, as are other kinases; instead, it is in the protein core, where it forms part of the ATP-binding “P loop motif.” How this buried residue is phosphorylated and regulates kinase activity is unclear. Here, we report multiple structures that reveal the P loop motif’s exhibition of a remarkable “in-out” conformational equilibrium, which allows access to Ser150 and its intermolecular autophosphorylation. Phosphorylated Ser150 stabilizes the “out state,” which inactivates the kinase by disrupting the ATP-binding pocket. Thus, our data reveal a mechanism of protein kinase regulation that is vital for multidrug tolerance and persistence, as kinase inactivation provides the critical first step in allowing dormant cells to revert to the growth phenotype and to reinfect the host.

INTRODUCTION

Bacterial persistence is largely responsible for the inability of antibiotics to eliminate infections and is caused by a small subpopulation of cells called persisters (Lewis, 2007, 2010). Persisters are not mutants but phenotypic variants of wild-type cells that escape antibiotic eradication by adopting a transient dormant state (Lewis, 2007, 2010). Dormancy provides protection because antibiotics typically act by disrupting active targets into producing toxic by-products. If the cells remain quiescent until the drug is removed and then revert back to growth, multidrug tolerance (MDT) results. A critical component in the development of MDT is the ability of persister cells to emerge from dormancy and reestablish an active growth phase. How such reversion occurs is unknown and represents an outstanding question in the field. The recent discovery of persisters in biofilms has highlighted the importance of understanding these mechanisms as they play important roles in the etiology of recalcitrant infectious diseases. An estimated 65%–90% of all infections are associated with biofilms (Lázár and Chifiriuc, 2010). The phenomenon of MDT, however, has been extremely difficult to study, as persisters comprise less than 1% of a typical bacterial population (Lewis, 2007). In fact, although MDT was first described in 1944 by Bigger, the first bona fide persistence factor was only identified in 1983. This factor was called HipA, for high persistence factor A (Bigger, 1944; Moyed and Bertrand, 1983; Moyed and Broderick, 1986).

HipA is a 50 kDa protein, which when mutated led to dramatic increases in persistence in Escherichia coli (Moyed and Bertrand, 1983; Moyed and Broderick, 1986; Black et al., 1991, 1994). Subsequently HipA has been shown to be present in multiple Gram-negative bacteria for which genomic sequences are available. In these bacteria, HipA is part of a chromosomally encoded operon, hipBA, which also encodes the 10 kDa HipB protein (Moyed and Bertrand, 1983; Moyed and Broderick, 1986). HipB is a DNA-binding protein that autoregulates transcription of the hipBA operon. HipB also interacts with HipA to disable its persistence function (Black et al., 1991, 1994). Recent studies revealed that HipA adopts a eukaryotic serine/threonine protein kinase fold and phosphorylates EF-Tu in vitro suggesting a mechanism of cell stasis via inhibition of protein synthesis (Correia et al., 2006; Evdokimov et al., 2009; Schumacher et al., 2009). The HipA-HipB-DNA structure indicated that HipB neutralizes HipA through conformational inactivation and sequestration (Schumacher et al., 2009). Thus, HipA and HipB can be categorized as a chromosomally encoded toxin-antitoxin (TA) module, where HipA is the toxin and HipB is the antitoxin (Hayes and...
Van Melderen, 2011). However, neither HipA nor HipB shows homology with any of the TA proteins in any system marking them as a unique TA module.

In addition to its binding to HipB, HipA is regulated by auto-phosphorylation on residue Ser150 (Correia et al., 2006). Remarkably, the HipA structures reveals that Ser150 is buried in the protein hydrophobic core (Schumacher et al., 2009). This is in sharp contrast to the phosphorylated regulatory sites of other protein kinases, which are typically located on solvent exposed loops. Studies in which Ser150 was replaced by alanine resulted in a loss of persistence, which led to the suggestion that Ser150 phosphorylation is necessary for kinase activation and therefore persistence (Correia et al., 2006). To gain insight into the seemingly paradoxical questions of how a residue buried in the protein hydrophobic core can be phosphorylated and how this phosphorylation affects HipA kinase activity, we carried out structural and biochemical studies on this key persistence factor. The data reveal a heretofore-unseen mechanism of kinase regulation and suggest a molecular basis for how persistor cells can emerge from dormancy to cause reinfection.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Structure Determination of pHipA and Comparison with Nonphosphorylated HipA

To gain insight into how the buried Ser150 residue in HipA is phosphorylated, we purified the wild-type (wt) phosphorylated HipA protein (pHipA) and performed structural studies. Two crystal structures (trigonal and monoclinic) of pHipA were determined to 1.90 and 1.50 Å resolution, respectively, by molecular replacement using the previously determined structure of HipA(D309Q), a catalytically inactive enzyme due to the replacement of the catalytic base, residue Asp309, with a glutamine (Jones et al., 1991; Brünger et al., 1998; Schumacher et al., 2009). The final Rwork/Rfree values for the structures were 18.4%/24.3% and 21.2%/24.8%, respectively (Table S1).

Comparison of the pHipA and nonphosphorylated HipA(D309Q) structures showed that while they are similar overall, the region of pHipA, which encompasses residues 134–155 and includes phosphorylated Ser150 (pS150), adopts a vastly different conformation (Figures 1A–1C). In the HipA(D309Q) structure, residues 134–155 are part of the hydrophobic core of the N-domain. In the pHipA structures, these residues are extruded by over 30 Å from their internal location into the solvent. In the monoclinic pHipA structure, residues 143–155 are mostly disordered while in the trigonal pHipA structure these residues form a well-defined extended structure that lies over the active site and interacts with the putative activation loop/region (residues 185–195), which is disordered in the HipA(D309Q) structure (Schumacher et al., 2009). Two flexible regions consisting of residues 134–147 and 155–157 appear to provide the necessary conformational malleability to permit loop extrusion (Figure 1A).

Also important for loop ejection is the paucity of contacts between HipA residues 148–154 and other residues in the protein core and a repositioning of helix α3.

Destruction of the HipA Catalytic P Loop Motif by Ser150 Expulsion: The In and Out States

The finding that a portion of the protein core is ejected and becomes solvent exposed in pHipA is striking, but more critical is the finding that these expelled residues encompass a catalytically essential region, the so-called catalytic glycine-rich or P loop motif (Huse and Kuriyan, 2002; Fernandez-Fuentes et al., 2004; Taylor and Kornev, 2011). Typical protein kinase glycine-rich motifs consist of an eight residue hairpin-like loop that is located between two β strands. The tip of this β loop-β motif (herein referred to as the P loop motif) encircles the ATP phosphates and is essential for catalysis. In HipA, the P loop motif (151–VAGAQEKT-158) diverges from those found in other phosphates...
Protein kinases as it contains only one glycine (Pereira et al., 2011). As a result, it has a slightly altered conformation when compared with typical serine/threonine kinase P loops. Nonetheless, in the HipA(D309Q)-ATP structure this region is used to embrace the ATP phosphate groups (Figure 1B). What is particularly notable is that the structural change observed in the pHipA structures leads to the complete destruction of this essential catalytic loop conformation (Figures 1A and 1B).

Thus, the structural data on HipA indicate that the P loop motif exists in equilibrium between two conformations, which we call the “in state” and “out state.” We note that the out state is not meant to indicate one specific conformation but rather any conformation in which the P loop motif is ejected from the hydrophobic core. Interestingly, the HipA N-terminal domain in which the P loop motif resides is larger than the corresponding domains of most protein kinases. In HipA, the P loop motif forms the central strand of the β sheet of the domain. Hence, ejection of this region disrupts this β sheet of the N-domain and leads to the creation of N-subdomains 1 and 2 (Figure 1C). N-subdomain 2 contains substrate-binding residues while the role of N-subdomain 1 is unknown, but mutations in residues in this subdomain can result in a high persistence phenotype (Moyed and Bertrand, 1983; Korch et al., 2003; Korch and Hill, 2006; Lewis, 2007). The cavity between the N-subdomains that results from P loop motif expulsion is filled with solvent, which now links the disjointed strands of the N-domain β sheet.

Additional comparison of in-state and out-state structures reveals different sets of contacts in each state and these interactions, which are nearly equal in number, appear to be equally balanced. In the in state, Arg78 forms multiple hydrogen bonds with the backbone carbonyl oxygens of residues Met140, Ile141, and Glu144. These interactions buttress residues 140 through 147, allowing them to form a distorted helix-loop structure (Figures 2A and 2B). In the out state, Tyr132 and Arg78 rotate into the cavity vacated by residues 140–147. From its new location, the side chain of N-subdomain 2 residue Tyr132 makes a weak hydrogen bond to N-subdomain 1 residue Tyr79 and residue Arg78 now interacts with the side chain of Glu156, which is found on the tip of the P loop motif of ATP-bound (in-state) HipA (Figures 2A and 2B). In order to form this latter contact, Glu156 adopts a conformation that disfavors canonical P loop formation. Thus, these contacts and the nonstandard P loop conformation aid in the unraveling of the P loop motif. By contrast, in the ATP-bound state, Glu156 interacts with Arg74 and this interaction favors the ATP-binding conformation of the P loop motif. Consistent with playing a key role in HipA function, Arg78 is conserved in all HipA proteins.

**The Phosphorylated HipA pS150 Loop Can Function as an Intramolecular “Product” Autoinhibitor**

In the trigonal pHipA structure, the P loop motif binds in the active site and the phosphate of residue pSer150 overlaps...
the position of the γ-phosphate of ATP observed in the HipA(D309Q)-ATP structure (Figures 2C and 2D). Moreover, when bound in this manner, the pSer150 side chain interacts directly with the side chain of the proposed catalytic base, residue Asp309. The utilization of a loop, which mimics a bound substrate, is a well-described mechanism to inhibit protein kinases. These so-called “pseudosubstrates” can be provided from regulatory subunits or loops within the kinase itself (Cheetham, 2004). In the pHipA structure, the phosphorylated Ser150 functions as a novel kind of intramolecular “pseudoprod-uct” inhibitor that not only comes from the protein itself but is part of the active site. Interestingly, when the P loop motif binds to the catalytic pocket, residues 134–155 of the P loop motif interact with and permit folding of the normally disordered activation region (residues 185–195) into a β hairpin (Figure 1C). Folding of the activation region of HipA has been observed previously only when an EF-Tu peptide substrate was bound (Schumacher et al., 2009).

Structural Basis of HipA Inactivation by the S150A Mutation

The ability of the P loop motif of HipA to take an out-state conformation provides an explanation for autophosphorylation of residue Ser150 as expulsion of the P loop motif exposes this residue to the solvent (Figure 1A). Once phosphorylated, the large electronegative phosphate group precludes reinsertion of the P loop motif into the core. However, previous data showing that mutation of residue Ser150 to alanine (S150A) abolishes the ability of HipA to mediate persistence led to the suggestion that phosphorylation of Ser150 is required for kinase activation (Correia et al., 2006). This appears contrary to our structural data, which would predict that the phosphorylated out-state conformation of the HipA would be inactive. To gain insight into the conformational and functional effects of the S150A mutation, we solved the crystal structure of HipA(S150A) to 1.88 Å resolution (Table S1; Figures S1A and S1B).

Unexpectedly, the HipA(S150A) structure revealed that residues 133–155 are no longer present in the protein hydrophobic core and, similar to the monoclinic pHipA structure, the P loop motif into the core. However, previous studies showing that mutation of residue Ser150 to alanine (S150A) abolishes the ability of HipA to mediate persistence led to the suggestion that phosphorylation of Ser150 is required for kinase activation (Correia et al., 2006). This appears contrary to our structural data, which would predict that the phosphorylated out-state conformation of the HipA would be inactive. To gain insight into the conformational and functional effects of the S150A mutation, we solved the crystal structure of HipA(S150A) to 1.88 Å resolution (Table S1; Figures S1A and S1B).

Analysis of HipA(S150A) Structure

The P loop motif of HipA, which is comprised of residues 134–155, is largely disordered in the HipA(S150A) structure. However, the HipA(S150A) structure reveals that the phosphorylated Ser150 side chain interacts with and permits folding of the normally disordered activation region (residues 185–195) into a β hairpin (Figure 1C). Folding of the activation region of HipA has been observed previously only when an EF-Tu peptide substrate was bound (Schumacher et al., 2009).

pHipA Cannot Bind Adenine Nucleotides Productively

Autophosphorylation of an ejected residue that once formed part of the protein core and active site is unprecedented, but whether phosphorylation is intramolecular or intermolecular is unclear. Indeed, the finding from the pHipA structure that the phosphorylated Ser150 residue can dock into the active site with its phosphate moiety overlapping the site of the γ-phosphate of bound ATP suggested the possibility that autophosphorylation may be intramolecular. However, an intramolecular phosphorylation reaction would require ATP binding and γ-phosphate transfer to Ser150 concomitant with loop expulsion, a stereochemically and kinetically unlikely occurrence. Thus, to examine the effect of P-motif ejection on nucleotide binding, we crystallized HipA(D309Q) and HipA(S150A) in the presence of 3 mM ADP, as the ligand-induced thermal stability data show that pHipA can bind ADP at elevated concentrations (Figure S2B). The pHipA-ADP structure was determined to 2.30 Å resolution with Rwork/Rfree values of 23.8%/28.0%. As a control, the HipA(D309Q)-ADP structure was solved to 2.30 Å resolution and refined to Rwork/Rfree values of 22.1%/26.3% (Table S1).

Strong electron density was observed for the P loop motif and the entire ADP molecule in the HipA(D309Q)-ADP structure.
In addition to van der Waals contacts to the ribose moiety, the P loop motif of the HipA(D309Q) embraces the ADP nucleotide phosphates whereby the amide nitrogens of P loop residues Ala154 and Gln155 interact with the ADP β phosphate while the Lys157 side chain contacts both the α and β phosphates (Figure S1C). By sharp contrast, the structure of the pHipA-ADP complex shows the P loop motif takes the out state, as observed in other pHipA structures, despite the presence of nucleotide. More telling, electron density is observed only for the adenine moiety of the ADP (Figure 4A). Thus, expulsion of P loop motif residues from the pHipA catalytic site eliminates key contacts to the ADP ribose and phosphate moieties, while retaining interaction with the adenine moiety (Figures 4A and 4B). As noted above, the transfer of the γ phosphate of ATP to the substrate by serine/threonine protein kinases demands not only tight binding of ATP but also the precise alignment of the γ phosphate and substrate hydroxyl group. The lack of density for the ribose and phosphate moieties of ADP in the pHipA-ADP structure provides visible evidence to support the idea that the kinase P loop motif is required to anchor the phosphate moieties in place for efficient catalysis. Without these stabilizing interactions the phosphates are too flexible and indicate intramolecular autophosphorylation would be highly improbable. Thus, the structural data show that pHipA is unable to bind adenine nucleotides productively and suggests that HipA Ser150 autophosphorylation is an intermolecular event.

**HipA Autophosphorylation Is Intermolecular**

To address unambiguously whether the phosphorylation of HipA is an intra- or intermolecular event, we examined the ability of λ phosphatase-dephosphorylated HipA to phosphorylate a peptide corresponding to HipA residues 143–157 (EENDFRISVAGAQEK), which includes Ser150. Mass spectrometry was carried out and revealed that the peptide was indeed phosphorylated by HipA (Figure 4C). This demonstrates that...
Figure 4. HipA Phosphorylation Is Intermolecular: A Model for MDT Development

(A) Fo-Fc electron density maps (blue mesh, contoured at 4σ) of the HipA(D309Q)-ADP (left) and pHipA-ADP (right) structures calculated before inclusion of nucleotide. Weak electron density is observed only for the adenine moiety in the pHipA-ADP complex, whereas density for the full ADP molecule is seen in the HipA(D309Q)-ADP complex.

(B) View into the catalytic pocket of HipA after superimposition of the HipA(D309Q)-ADP and pHipA-ADP structures. The P loop motif of the HipA(D309Q)-ADP structure is shown in green and that of the pHipA-ADP structure is shown in red. In the pHipA-ADP structure the P loop is ejected, thereby destroying nearly the entire nucleotide binding pocket, while in the HipA(D309Q)-ADP structure the P loop motif is folded into the protein core and forms the canonical P loop-turn structure that embraces the ADP phosphates. The overlaid HipA proteins are shown as gray surface representations. The only other case of P-loop kinase phosphorylation involves phosphorylation of an exposed tyrosine of CDK2 (Welburn et al., 2007), which does not require P-loop ejection or conformational changes (see Figure S4).

(C) Mass spectrometry analysis of an in vitro phosphorylation assay examining the ability of dephosphorylated HipA to phosphorylate the HipA peptide, EENDFRISVAGAQEK, which encompasses HipA residue Ser150. The top frame is the control (no HipA added to the peptide) and the bottom frame is the included cell Reports 2, 518–525, September 27, 2012 ©2012 The Authors 523
autophosphorylation can take place intermolecularly. However, to test this in vivo we coexpressed HipA and kinase-dead, hexahistidine-tagged HipA(D309Q) and performed mass spectrometry on the purified D309Q protein. Because HipA(D309Q) shows no kinase activity, phosphorylation of its Ser150 residue would provide clear evidence for in vivo intermolecular phosphorylation by the coexpressed wt HipA protein. This analysis revealed that HipA(D309Q) was, in fact, phosphorylated. Thus, both in vitro and in vivo studies support that HipA autophosphorylation is intermolecular. This finding is consistent with the fact that the P loop motif of pHipA can no longer bind ATP due to its restructuring and ejection from the protein core and that HipA phosphorylation must be carried out by a second HipA with an in-state conformational P loop. Thus, our combined data show that the pHipA is inactive. Having deduced that HipA phosphorylation is intermolecular, we utilized our kinase assay to assess phosphorylation of the kinase-dead HipA(D309Q) by the HipA(S150A) and pHipA proteins. Strikingly, HipA(S150A) showed no kinase activity even when using ATP concentrations as high as 3 mM (Figure S3A). By contrast, the pHipA sample showed a small amount of autophosphorylation. However, this phosphorylation is the direct result of the incomplete modification of the HipA protein. Indeed, mass spectrometry analysis of pure pHipA reveals ~25% of the protein is not phosphorylated (Figure S3B). In accord, increasing the percentage of phosphorylated HipA diminishes the observed artifactual kinase activity of pHipA.

A Mechanism for Kinase Inactivation Plays a Central Role in Multidrug Tolerance

The data show that HipA utilizes a newly described mechanism of kinase autoinhibition involving P loop motif ejection followed by intermolecular autophosphorylation. By contrast, regulatory phosphorylation of essentially all protein kinases involves modification of activation region/loop residues. CDK2, which is phosphorylated by a Wee1/Mik1 kinase on P loop residue Tyr15, is the only other example of P loop residue phosphorylation (Welburn et al., 2007). However, because Tyr15 is located on the exposed P loop tip and CDK2 possesses a much smaller N-domain than HipA, Tyr15 phosphorylation requires no conformational change and the resultant CDK2-pTyr15 structure is essentially identical to the nonphosphorylated protein (Figures S4A and S4B). Moreover, CDK2-pTyr15 can still bind ATP and phosphorylation appears to inhibit function simply by blocking the protein substrate-binding site (Welburn et al., 2007). By sharp contrast, residue Ser150 of HipA is buried in the protein core and a dramatic structural change is required to effect its phosphorylation.

Our combined data suggest a HipA-mediated persistence cycle in which HipA is initially inhibited by binding to HipB, thereby sequestering HipA from substrates and locking this enzyme in an inactive conformation (Schumacher et al., 2009). Indeed, coexpression of HipB and HipA reveals no HipA-autophosphorylation (Evdokimov et al., 2009). Upon release from HipB, HipA phosphorylates target proteins thereby inducing persistence. Subsequent autophosphorylation is the crucial initial event that is necessary to allow persister cells to revert to a growth phenotype as this modification squelches the HipA kinase activity (Figure 4D). Whether pHipA is degraded ultimately is currently unknown and a difficult issue to address given that only one in a million cells become a persister and each persister cell contains only a few molecules of HipA. This dearth also makes tracking how the conformations of a few molecules of HipA contribute to the frequency and duration of persistence currently intractable. Logically pHipA degradation and HipB binding to newly synthesized HipA would be the most effective subsequent steps to restore the growth phenotype. On the other hand, control of the length of persistence could involve a robust mechanism of dephosphorylation of pHipA. However, our efforts to identify a HipA-specific phosphatase have been unsuccessful thus far. Regardless, the conformational dynamics of the P loop motif of HipA dramatically and directly affect persistence as any event, which favors the out state, including phosphorylation of Ser150 or loss of an in-state stabilizing interaction as observed in HipA(S150A), results in the loss of persistence (Correia et al., 2006).

Finally, a simple bioinformatic analysis of the currently available bacterial genomes reveals that the hipBA system is highly conserved among Gram-negative bacteria with HipA proteins showing sequence identities ranging from 45% to 95%. Strikingly, Ser150 is as highly conserved as the catalytic residues of these putative HipA proteins. These findings suggest that the hipBA system is an important MDT/persistence module in many Gram-negative organisms and further, that HipA autophosphorylation, which provides a self-inactivation mechanism, plays a fundamental role in MDT development.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

HipA proteins were purified via Ni²⁺-NTA chromatography. Purified wt HipA is typically 65%–90% phosphorylated on residue Ser150 (Correia et al., 2006 and our results). Dephosphorylated wt HipA was prepared by treating HipA with λ phosphatase, pHipA, HipA(S150A), HipA-ADP, and HipA(D309Q)-ADP structures were solved by molecular replacement. Phosphorylation of the HipA 15-mer peptide by dephosphorylated HipA or pHipA was analyzed by mass spectrometry. For a full description of all the experimental methods, see Extended Experimental Procedures.
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Coordinates and structure factor files for HipA(S150A), pHipA P2₁, pHipA P₅₃₁₂₁, HipA(D309Q)-ADP, and pHipA-ADP have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank with accession codes 5P40, 5Q0F, 5Q0G, 5Q0H, 5Q0I, and 5Q0J.
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